

**Application No.:** 10/501,936  
**Filing Date:** May 19, 2006

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

A telephonic interview was conducted on November 20, 2008 between Examiner Boykin, and Applicant's attorneys, Sabing H. Lee and Lorenz Siddiqi.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

42, 50, 57

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent No. 3,051,993 (Goldman et al.)

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants explained the differences between Claims 42 and 50 and Goldman. Applicants also discussed whether a restriction should be issued for Claim 57.

### Results of Interview

Examiner appeared to indicate that Claims 42 and 50 distinguish over the prior art. Claim 57 should be either cancelled or amended; if so, it may be subject to a restriction requirement. Applicants have canceled Claim 57 as indicated above, and reserve the right to pursue Claim 57 and other subject matter at a later date.